

**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**  
**Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,**  
**Sector 16, Chandigarh.**  
**Ph: 0172-2864114, Email: - [psicsic30@punjabmail.gov.in](mailto:psicsic30@punjabmail.gov.in)**  
**Visit us: - [www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com)**



Smt. Vijay Laxmi,  
Sr Assistant, O/o Deputy Statistical,  
And Accounts Advisor.Sangrur.

... Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer,**  
O/o Deputy Economic and Statistical,  
Advisor, Sangrur.

**First Appellate Authority,**  
O/o Economic and Statistical Advisor,  
Plot No.2B, Sector 33-A,  
Chandigarh.

...Respondent

**Appeal Case No. 2256 of 2020**

**PRESENT:     None for the Appellant**  
**None for the Respondent**

**ORDER:**

The appellant through RTI application dated 25.11.2019 has sought information regarding annual progressive reports from 1988-89 to 2018-19 and instructions relating to ACR and other information as enumerated in the RTI application from the office of Deputy Economic and Statistical, Advisor, Sangrur. The appellant was not satisfied with the reply of the PIO dated 08.01.2020 vide which the information was denied u/s 8(i)(j) after which the filed first appeal before the first appellate authority on 27.01.2020 which took no decision on the appeal.

The case was first heard on 25.02.2021. The PIO was absent and vide letter received in the Commission on 24.02.2021 had sought exemption due to the budget session.

The appellant was also absent. The appellant was directed to appear before the Commission on the next date of hearing, If the appellant wants information, and plead his case.

**Hearing dated 12.05.2021:**

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Sangrur. The appellant is absent to pursue her, case nor has filed any suitable reply.

The Commission has noticed that the PIO has not appeared yet again, nor has communicated any request for adjournment. I find this dereliction in duty. The PIO is directed to appear personally on the next date of hearing and file a suitable reply.

To come up for further hearing on **23.08.2021 at 11.00 AM** through a video conference facility available in the office of Deputy Commissioner, Sangrur.

**Chandigarh**  
**Dated :12.05.2021**

**Sd/-**  
**(Khushwant Singh)**  
**State Information Commissioner**



Sh.Sushil Kumar, S/o Sh.Nand Lal,  
Plot No-13, Bus Stand Road,  
Malerkotla.

... Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer,**  
O/o Chief Vigilance Officer,  
Local Deptt, Pb, Chandigarh.

**First Appellate Authority,**  
O/o Chief Vigilance Officer,  
Local Deptt, Pb, Chandigarh.

...Respondent

**Appeal Case No. 874 of 2020**

**PRESENT: Sh.Sushil Kumar as the Appellant**  
**Sh.Rajiv Sagggar, PIO for the Respondent**

**ORDER:**

The appellant through an RTI application dated 01.12.2019 has sought information regarding the name of the officer who was assigned the job to prepare an enquiry report in case No.6/106/1704 – documents filed before the Hon'ble court as evidence – the name of the officer who filed FIR - a copy of FIR and other information concerning the office of Chief Vigilance Officer, Local Govt Department, Pb Chandigarh. The appellant was not provided with the information after which the appellant filed the first appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 03.01.2020 which took no decision on the appeal.

The case was first heard on 03.09.2020. Both the parties were absent. Having gone through the record, the Commission observed that there has been an enormous delay in attending to the RTI application. The PIO was directed to provide the information to the appellant as per the RTI Act and send a compliance report to the Commission, otherwise, the Commission will be constrained to take action against the PIO as per the RTI Act.

On the date of the last hearing on **25.02.2021**, the appellant claimed that the PIO has not provided the information.

Sh.Rajiv Sagggar, PIO appeared late and informed that the enquiry on the complaints is pending with Regional Deputy Director, Patiala since 13.08.2019 and the reply has been sent to the appellant vide letter dated 17.01.2020 that after receipt of enquiry report, the information will be provided to the appellant.

The respondent further informed that after receipt of the complete enquiry report, the same will be sent to the Chief Vigilance Officer for final decision and thereafter the information can be provided to the appellant.

The Commission recommended that the Regional Deputy Director, Local Bodies, Patiala to complete the enquiry report within 30 days and send it to the Chief Vigilance Officer for final decision. The Chief Vigilance Officer was directed to send a status report of the enquiry to the appellant.

**Hearing dated 12.05.2021:**

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Sangrur/Mohali. The respondent present pleaded that the enquiry report from Regional Director Local Bodies, Patiala has been received but the Chief Vigilance Officer has not yet taken a final decision on the enquiry report.

The Commission has received a letter from the appellant on 26.03.2021 wherein the appellant has informed that the PIO has not sent any reply vide letter dated 17.01.2020 and the statement given by the PIO during the last hearing and order of the Commission dated 25.02.2021 is beyond the facts of the information that has been sought in the RTI application.

The appellant has informed that he has sought information regarding case No.6/106/17-4 in which an FIR has already been lodged and the case is pending in Hon'ble Court.

The reply of the PIO does not relate to the RTI application.

During the hearing, the PIO after having gone through the facts of the case, informed that the information is in the custody of Regional Deputy Director, Patiala.

I am making the respondent as deemed PIO in this case and direct him to relook at the RTI application and provide complete information to the appellant. If not available, to procure it from where-ever it is available and suitably respond the RTI application.

To come up for further hearing on **23.08.2021 at 11.00 AM** through video conference facility available in the office of Deputy Commissioner, Sangrur. The PIO to appear through VC at DAC Mohali..

**Chandigarh**  
**Dated :12.05.2021**

**Sd/-**  
**(Khushwant Singh)**  
**State Information Commissioner**



Sh Rajinder Kumar, S/o Sh. Mehar Chand,  
Ward No-2, Supreme Enclave,  
Near vishvkarma Bhawan,  
Link Road, Mansa.

...Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer,**  
O/o Director, Local Govt,  
Sector-35, Chandigarh.

**First Appellate Authority,**  
O/o Director, Local Govt, Pb  
Sector-35, Chandigarh.

.....Respondent

**Appeal Case No. 2128 of 2020**

**PRESENT: Sh.Rajinder Kumar as the Appellant**  
**None for the Respondent**

**ORDER:**

The appellant through RTI application dated 13.05.2020 has sought information regarding the decision taken vide resolution No.365 to 382 of general meeting dated 17.01.2020 of NC Mansa along with noting – resolution no.364 dated 29.11.2019 and other information concerning the office of Director, Local Govt. Punjab, Chandigarh. The appellant was not provided with the information after which the appellant filed the first appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 15.06.2020 which took no decision on the appeal. After filing the first appeal, the PIO sent a reply to the appellant vide letter dated 25.06.2020 stating that the matter is under consideration. On not being satisfied with the reply, the appellant filed 2<sup>nd</sup> appeal in the Commission on 10.08.2020.

On the date of the first hearing on 02.12.2020, the appellant claimed that the PIO has not provided the information.

The respondent was absent. Having gone through the file, the Commission observed that the copy of the RTI application with the commission was not legible. The appellant was directed to send a legible copy of the RTI application to the Commission.

The PIO was directed to relook at the RTI application and provide the information to the appellant as per the RTI application.

Sh.Jang Bahadur Singh, Sr. Assistant O/o Local Govt.(General Branch) appeared late and brought the information. A copy of the information was sent to the appellant with the order and the appellant was directed to point out the discrepancies, if any, in writing to the PIO and the PIO was directed to remove the same.

On the date of the last hearing on **01.02.2021**, the appellant pointed out the discrepancies. The respondent present informed that the information has already been sent to the appellant as per the RTI application and no further information is available in their record.

Hearing both the parties, the PIO was directed to send a covering letter to the appellant mentioning point-wise details of the information that has been provided as discussed during the hearing.

Secondly, If no other information available on the matter, the PIO must give in writing an affidavit that the information that has been provided is true, complete and no other information is available in the record.

**Hearing dated 12.05.2021:**

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Mansa/Mohali. The appellant claims that despite orders of the Commission, the PIO has neither supplied complete information nor has given in writing an affidavit that the information that has been provided, is true, complete and no other information is available in the record.

The respondent is absent without any communication. Since there has been an enormous delay of more than one year in providing the information and there is no compliance of the order, the PIO is directed to **show cause why penalty be not imposed on him under Section 20 of the RTI Act 2005 for not supplying the information within the statutorily prescribed period of time.** He/she should file an affidavit in this regard. If there are other persons responsible for the delay in providing the information, the PIO is directed to inform such persons of the show cause and direct them to appear before the Commission along with the written replies.

To come up for further hearing on **23.08.2021 at 11.00 AM** through a video conference facility available in the office of Deputy Commissioner, Mansa. The PIO to appear through VC at DAC Mohali.

**Chandigarh**  
**Dated :12.05.2021**

**Sd/-**  
**(Khushwant Singh)**  
**State Information Commissioner**



Sh Rajinder Kumar, S/o Sh. Mehar Chand,  
Ward No-2, Supreme Enclave,  
Near vishvkarma Bhawan,  
Link Road, Mansa.

...Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer,**  
O/o Director, Local Govt, Pb  
Sector-35, Chandigarh.

**First Appellate Authority,**  
O/o Director, Local Govt, Pb  
Sector-35,  
Chandigarh.

Respondent

**Appeal Case No. 2219 of 2020**

**PRESENT: Sh.Rajinder Kumar as the Appellant**  
**None for the Respondent**

**ORDER:**

The appellant through RTI application dated 11.05.2020 has sought information on 12 points regarding a letter of the Director Local Govt No.17896 dated 28.04.2020 regarding meeting dated 17.01.2020 – letter No.149-50 dated 20.01.2020 - report of EO vide letter dated 24.01.2020 and other information as enumerated in the RTI application concerning the office of Director, Local Govt. Punjab, Chandigarh. The appellant was not provided with the information after which the appellant filed the first appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 15.06.2020 which took no decision on the appeal.

The case was first heard on 02.12.2020. The appellant claimed that the PIO has not provided the information. The respondent was absent. Having gone through the file, the Commission observed that the copy of the RTI application that is with the commission was not legible. The appellant was directed to send a legible copy of RTI application to the Commission.

The PIO was directed to relook at the RTI application and provide the information to the appellant as per the RTI application.

Sh.Jang Bahadur Singh, Sr. Assistant O/o Local Govt.(General Branch) appeared late and brought the information. A copy of the information was sent to the appellant with the order and the appellant was directed to point out the discrepancies, if any, in writing to the PIO and the PIO was directed to remove the same.

**On the date of the last hearing on 01.02.2021**, the appellant claimed that the PIO has not provided the complete information on points-9,10,11 & 12. The respondent present informed that the information has already been sent to the appellant as per the RTI application and no further information is available in their record.

The PIO was directed to give in writing an affidavit that the information that has been provided is true, complete and no other information is available in the record.

**Hearing dated 12.05.2021:**

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Mansa/Mohali. The appellant claims that despite orders of the Commission, the PIO has neither supplied complete information nor has given in writing an affidavit that the information that has been provided, is true, complete and no other information is available in the record.

The respondent is absent without any communication. Since there has been an enormous delay of more than one year in providing the information and there is no compliance of the order, the PIO is directed to **show cause why penalty be not imposed on him under Section 20 of the RTI Act 2005 for not supplying the information within the statutorily prescribed period of time.** He/she should file an affidavit in this regard. If there are other persons responsible for the delay in providing the information, the PIO is directed to inform such persons of the show cause and direct them to appear before the Commission along with the written replies.

To come up for compliance on **23.08.2021 at 11.00 AM** through a video conference facility available in the office of Deputy Commissioner, Mansa. The PIO to appear through VC at DAC Mohali.

**Chandigarh**  
**Dated :12.05.2021**

**Sd/-**  
**(Khushwant Singh)**  
**State Information Commissioner**



Sh Rajinder Kumar, S/o Sh. Mehar  
Chand, Ward No-2, Supreme Enclave,  
Near vishvkarma Bhawan,  
Link Road, Mansa.

...Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer,**  
O/o Director, Local Govt, Pb  
Sector-35, Chandigarh.

**First Appellate Authority,**  
O/o Director, Local Govt, Pb,  
Sector-35, Chandigarh.

Respondent

**Appeal Case No. 2220 of 2020**

**PRESENT: Sh. Rajinder Kumar as the Appellant**  
**None for the Respondent**

**ORDER:**

The case was first heard on 02.12.2020. The appellant claimed that the PIO has not provided the information. The appellant further informed that his First Appeal dated 13.07.2020 had been returned back to him with the remarks of the postal authority "Refused by Under Secretary".

The Commission observed that the Under Secretary, Local Govt. Punjab had refused to accept the first appeal in the present case and the trend has been observed in the previous cases.

Taking a strict view on the gross violation of an act of parliament, the Commission directed the Secretary, Local Govt. Department, Punjab to enquire into the matter that why RTI applications/appeals are being refused by the Under Secretary. To submit an enquiry report to the Commission on the matter before the next date of hearing.

A copy of the RTI application was sent to the authority with the direction to reply as per the RTI application as the appellant has shown dissatisfaction over the reply that has been sent. The matter be taken seriously and enquiry report be sent why appeals are being refused from receipt.

In the meantime, the appellant was directed to send a legible copy of the RTI application to the Commission.

On the date of last hearing on **01.02.2021**, the appellant submitted a legible copy of the RTI application.

Having relooked the RTI application and a copy of the same provided to the respondent. The PIO was directed to provide the information to the appellant within 15 days as per the RTI application and send a compliance report to the Commission.



**Appeal Case No. 2220 of 2020**

Regarding the refusal of RTI application and First appeal by the Under Secretary, Local Govt., the PIO had submitted a clarification which was taken on the file of the Commission.

**Hearing dated 12.05.2021:**

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Mansa/Mohali. . The Commission has also received a copy of the letter dated 19.03.2021 from the PIO on 25.03.2021 vide which the PIO has sent information to the appellant.

As per the appellant, the information that has been supplied is incomplete since the PIO has not provided the information on point 1(a) and point-2.

The respondent is absent and vide email has sought exemption due to positive cases of COVID-19 in the department.

The PIO is given one last opportunity to comply with the earlier order of the Commission and provide complete information to the appellant within 10 days of the receipt of the order, otherwise, the Commission will be constrained to initiate proceedings against the PIO as per provisions of section 20 of the RTI Act.

To come up for further hearing on **23.08.2021 at 11.00 AM** through a video conference facility available in the office of Deputy Commissioner, Mansa. The PIO to appear through VC at DAC Mohali.

**Chandigarh**  
**Dated :12.05.2021**

**Sd/-**  
**(Khushwant Singh)**  
**State Information Commissioner**

**CC to :Secretary, Local Govt.Pb, Chandigarh**



Sh.Rakesh Parkash s/o Sh.Jagan Nath,  
R/o New Road, Kesar Vakilwali Gali,  
Mansa.

....Complainant

Versus

Public Information Officer,  
O/o District Treasury Officer,  
Mansa.

...Respondent

**Complaint case No.473 of 2020**

**PRESENT: Sh.Rakesh Parkash as the Complainant**  
**Sh.Jagtar Singh, District Treasury Officer, Mansa and Smt.Bhanumati,**  
**DAG O/o AG Pb for the Respondent**

**ORDER:**

The complainant through RTI application dated 12.05.2020 has sought information regarding copies of Bills dated 26.02.2019, 12.07.2019, 25.04.2019, 22.10.2019, 6.11.2019, 8.05.2019, 21.06.2019, 20.09.2019 alongwith amount released from treasury against these bills –account number in which the amount was deposited, name of account holder– name of official responsible for deposit of amount in the account of account holder - and other information concerning the office of District Treasury Officer, Mansa. The complainant was not satisfied with the reply of the PIO dated 09.06.2020 after which the complainant filed a complaint in the Commission on 27.07.2020.

On the date of first hearing on 02.12.2020, the respondent present informed that since the case is pending with Commissioner Cyber Crime Branch for enquiry, the information cannot be provided and the reply has already been sent to the complainant on 09.06.2020.

The complainant claimed that when the RTI application was filed, the record was available with the District Treasury office.

Having gone through the RTI application, reply of the PIO and hearing both the parties, it was settled that the PIO to provide whatever information is in his custody.

On the date of the last hearing on **01.02.2021**, the respondent pleaded that the bills that are being sought through the RTI application, after processing, are sent to the office of AG Punjab for payment, and the asked information is available with the office of AG Punjab.

Given the above, The PIO- AG Punjab was impleaded in the case and directed to look at the RTI application and file a suitable reply. A copy of the RTI application was sent alongwith the order to the PIO-AG Punjab.

**Hearing dated 12.05.2021:**

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Mansa/Mohali. The respondent PIO-District Treasury Officer reiterated his earlier plea that the asked information is in the custody of the office of AG Punjab.

**Complaint case No.473 of 2020**

Smt.Bhanumati, DAG o/o AG Punjab is present and pleaded that it was not possible to provide information without voucher numbers of the bills. According to the respondent, the bills received from different departments of Punjab are kept in record with the marking of voucher numbers.

The PIO O/o AG Punjab is directed to file a written reply.

To come up for further hearing on **23.08.2021 at 11.00 AM** through video conference facility available in the office of Deputy Commissioner, Mansa. PIO-AG Punjab to appear through VC at DAC Mohali..

**Chandigarh**  
**Dated :12.05.2021**

**Sd/-**  
**(Khushwant Singh)**  
**State Information Commissioner**

**CC to:PIO-O/o AG, Punjab,**  
**Sector 17, Chandigarh.**



Sh. Rajinder Singh,  
H. No.463/3-A, Sector-53,  
Mohali.

.....Appellant

**Vs**

**Public Information Officer,**  
O/o GMADA,  
Mohali.

**First Appellate Authority,**  
O/o GMADA,  
Mohali.

.....Respondent

**Appeal case No.50 of 2020**

**PRESENT: None for the Appellant**  
**None for the Respondent**

**ORDER:**

The appellant through RTI application dated 30.08.2019 has sought information regarding extension fee being charged after implementation of collector rates for residential plots in sector 69 Mohali – procedure for charging extension fee before implementation of collector rates – copy of decision taken in Vidhan Sabha – procedure for charging extension fee on commercial plots and other information concerning the office of GMADA Mohali. The appellant was not provided the information after which the appellant filed first appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 21.10.2019 which took no decision on the appeal.

The case was first heard on 16.09.2020. The respondent present pleaded that information has been supplied to the appellant vide letter dated 23.03.2020 with a copy submitted to the Commission. The Commission had received a copy of reply on 27.05.2020.

The appellant was absent nor has communicated any discrepancies. The appellant was directed to point out the discrepancies if any to the PIO with a copy to the Commission and the PIO was directed to relook at the RTI application and remove the discrepancies.

On the date of next hearing on 24.11.2020, the respondent present pleaded that the information has been provided to the appellant. The appellant informed that he has received the information but with a delay of more than one year.

The respondent claimed that the RTI application was not received in their branch and once they received the notice of the Commission dated 20.02.2020 along with the RTI application, the information was supplied to the appellant vide letter dated 23.03.2020. The respondent was directed to provide an affidavit stating the above said statement i.e. that their office did not receive this particular RTI application, reason for which it could not be tended to.

The PIO was also directed to investigate if the RTI application was received by the office, and how it failed to land on the desk of the concerned PIO. To file a detailed reply.

**Appeal case No.50 of 2020**

On the date of the last hearing on **01.02.2021**, the respondent was absent and vide email has sought exemption stating that the maximum staff of GMADA is on election duty. The PIO had also sent a list of persons on election duty which has been taken on the file of the Commission.

The case was adjourned.

**Hearing dated 12.05.2021:**

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Mohali. Both the parties are absent.

The information stands provided. However, the PIO has not filed a detailed reply on the matter of investigation of RTI application. The PIO is given one last opportunity and directed to investigate if the RTI application was received by the office, and how it failed to land on the desk of the concerned PIO

To come up for further hearing on **23.08.2021 at 11.00 AM through video conference** facility available in the office of Deputy Commissioner, Mohali.

**Chandigarh**  
**Dated :12.05.2021**

**Sd/-**  
**(Khushwant Singh)**  
**State Information Commissioner**

Note: The appellant appeared late and since the information stands provided, the appellant is exempted for further appearance.



Sh.Harpal Singh, S/o Late Sh.Bawa Singh  
AliasBaru S/o Sh.Jetha,  
R/o Village Kumbra,  
Tehsil & Dist. Mohali

..... Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer,**  
O/o EO, GMADA,  
Mohali.

First Appellate Authority,  
O/o EO. GMADA,  
Mohali.

Respondent

**Appeal case No.410 of 2020**

**PRESENT: Sh.Harpal Singh as the Appellant**  
**None for the Respondent**

**ORDER:**

The appellant through the RTI application dated 05.09.2019 has sought information regarding providing plots in lieu of land acquired Khasra No.429/2 relating to Sh.Bawa s/o Jethu – letter No.29620 dated 09.08.2018, letter No.26871 dated 18.07.2018, letter No.26919 dated 18.07.2018, letter no.29620 dated 09.08.2018, letter no.41308 dated 15.11.2018 etc. and other information concerning the office of EO-GMADA Mohali. The appellant was not satisfied with the information provided by the PIO vide letter dated 18.10.2018 after which the appellant filed the first appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 11.12.2019 which took no decision on the appeal.

The case was first heard on 16.09.2020. The respondent present pleaded that information has been supplied to the appellant vide letter dated 18.10.2019 with a copy submitted to the Commission.

The appellant stated that the information was not clear. The respondent informed that the information relates to the Estate Officer, GMADA.

The appellant was directed to point out the discrepancies in writing to the PIO with a copy to the Commission and the respondent was directed to remove the same. If the information is not in the custody of EO-GMADA, the respondent was directed to procure it from the concerned PIO and provide to the appellant.

On the date of hearing on 24.1.2020, the appellant was absent and the vide letter received in the Commission on 23.11.2020 informed that the PIO has not provided the information.

As per the respondent, the appellant had pointed out the discrepancies on 20.11.2020. The respondent assured to remove the discrepancies within 15 days. The PIO was directed to remove the discrepancies within 15 days and send a compliance report to the Commission.

**Appeal case No.410 of 2020**

On the date of last hearing on 01.02.2021, the respondent pleaded that as per the facts of the case a reply has been sent to the appellant.

The appellant was absent. The case was adjourned.

**Hearing dated 12.05.2021:**

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Mohali. The appellant claims that the PIO has not supplied the complete information/removed the discrepancies as pointed out on 20.11.2020.

The respondent is absent nor has complied with the order of the Commission. There has been an enormous delay of more than one year and eight months in providing the information and the PIO is not complying the order of the Commission. The Commission has taken a serious view of this and hereby directs the PIO to **show cause why penalty be not imposed on him under Section 20 of the RTI Act 2005 for not supplying the information within the statutorily prescribed period of time. He/she should file an affidavit in this regard.** If there are other persons responsible for the delay in providing the information, the PIO is directed to inform such persons of the show cause and direct them to appear before the Commission along with the written replies.

The PIO is again directed to remove the discrepancies and provide complete information to the appellant within 10 days of the receipt of this order.

To come up for further hearing on **23.08.2021 at 11.00 AM** through a video conference facility available in the office of Deputy Commissioner, Mohali.

Chandigarh  
Dated :12.05.2021

Sd/-  
(Khushwant Singh)  
State Information Commissioner

**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**  
**Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Sector 16, Chandigarh.**  
**Ph: 0172-2864114, Email: - [psicsic30@punjabmail.gov.in](mailto:psicsic30@punjabmail.gov.in)**  
**Visit us: - [www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com)**



Sh.Rajeshwar Sharma.  
Kothi No-584, Phase-4,  
Mohali.

...Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer,**  
O/o GMADA,  
Mohali.

First Appellate Authority,  
O/o GMADA,  
Mohali.

..... Respondent

**Appeal case No.3040 of 2019**

**PRESENT: Sh.Rajeshwar Sharma as the Appellant**  
**None for the Respondent**

**ORDER:**

The appellant through the RTI application dated 15.05.2019 has sought information regarding action taken on his request No.9880 dated 18.03.2019 and other information concerning the office of GMADA Mohali. The appellant was not provided with the information after which the appellant filed a first appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 20.06.2019 which took no decision on the appeal.

The case was first heard on 18.12.2019. The respondent present pleaded that the information has been provided to the complainant. The appellant was not satisfied and pleaded that he has sought action taken report on his application. The PIO was directed to relook at the RTI application and provide any document that is available on the action taken at the request of the appellant.

The case was again heard on **24.02.2020**. Both the parties were absent. The case was adjourned.

On the date of hearing on **16.09.2020**, the respondent present pleaded that the information has already been provided to the appellant. The appellant was absent and vide email pointed out the discrepancies, a copy of which was sent to the PIO and the PIO was directed to remove the discrepancies.

On the date of hearing on 24.11.2020, the respondent present pleaded that the information has been provided to the appellant. As per the appellant, the reply was not as per the RTI application.

Hearing both the parties, the PIO was directed to provide all notings/documents that have been created to arrive at the decision that has been supplied to the appellant. The appellant was directed to visit the office of the PIO by fixing a mutually convenient time and resolve the matter.



**Appeal case No.3040 of 2019**

On the date of the last hearing on **01.02.2021**, the respondent was absent and vide email sought exemption stating that the maximum staff of GMADA is on election duty. The PIO also sent a list of persons on election duty which was taken on the file of the Commission.

The case was adjourned. The PIO was directed to bring the complete file concerning this particular RTI application at the next date of hearing.

**Hearing dated 12.05.2021:**

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Mohali. The appellant informed that he visited the office of PIO on 16.01.2021 and requested the concerned branch to provide notings/documents created to support the decision provided to the appellant but nothing was provided.

At the last hearing the PIO was directed to provide all notings/documents that have been created to arrive at the decision that has been supplied to the appellant. The appellant was directed to visit the office of the PIO by fixing a mutually convenient time and resolve the matter, which he has done.

The PIO is given one last opportunity to provide the said document, and if no such document exists, to give an affidavit.

To come up for further hearing on **23.08.2021 at 11.00 AM** through a video conference facility available in the office of **Deputy Commissioner, Mohali**.

**Chandigarh**  
**Dated :12.05.2021**

**Sd/-**  
**(Khushwant Singh)**  
**State Information Commissioner**